

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/02/2002

CONFIRMATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 7073 6664MR LAURA KREBS BROWN 10/01/1999 09/410,592 07/02/2002 27752 7590 EXAMINER THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION RUDDOCK, ULA CORINNA WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161 6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT CINCINNATI, OH 45224

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.

09/410,592

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Ula Corinna Ruddock

Art Unit 1771



Office Action Summary

| | Ula Corinna Ruddock | |
|--|---|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears | on the cover sheet with the corres | pondence address |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears | | |
| Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In | no event, however, may a reply be timely filed | after SIX (8) MONTHS from the |
| mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within to the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply. If NO period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause to the fine the period for reply will, by statute, cause to the period for reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days while and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing the application to become ABANDONED (35 U. this communication, even if timely filed, may refer to the status of the | ng date of this communication. S.C. § 133). educe any |
| Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Apr 11, | 2002 | |
| | ction is non-final. | 1 |
| 2a) X This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This ac | Confermal matters or one | ecution as to the merits is |
| 2a) ★ This action is FINAL . 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex p</i> | except for formal matters, plos earte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 | 3 O.G. 213. |
| | | |
| Disposition of Claims 4) \(\mathbb{X} \) Claim(s) \(\frac{1-35}{2} \) | is/: | are withdrawn from consideration. |
| 4) XI Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> 4a) Of the above, claim(s) <u>18-35</u> | 10/0 | is/are allowed. |
| | | - '*'' |
| | | - |
| 6) XI Claim(s) <u>7-77</u> 7) Claim(s) | | riction and/or election requirement. |
| 7) | are subject to rest | and of closure of |
| Application Papers | | |
| the Evention | | ated to by the Examiner. |
| is/ | are a) Laccepted or b) Laccepted | C. 07 CER 1 85(a) |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. | ad by disapproved by the Examiner |
| The proposed drawing correction filed on | IS. a/ Opp. 0 | ed Dim disabbleace at a |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in re | ply to this Office action. | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. | |
| 1400 | | Plabid or (f) |
| 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for follers | in priority under 35 0.5.C. 3 11. | 5/a/ (6) 6. /// |
| a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of: | | |
| - cut insitu documents | have been received. | No |
| - the priority documents | have been received in Application | on No |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori | Rureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | , |
| *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of | estic priority under 35 U.S.C. § | 119(e). |
| *See the attached detailed office detailed of a claim for dome | sional application has been recei | ved. |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provi 15) ☒ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for dom | estic priority under 35 U.S.C. § | § 120 and/or 121. |
| 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for dom | | |
| Attachment(s) | 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) Notice of Informal Patent Appli | ication (PTO-152) |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Newton (No. 1) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No. 1. | 6) Other: | |

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/410,592

Art Unit: 1771

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged.
- 2. The Examiner has carefully considered Applicant's amendment and accompanying remarks filed April 11, 2002. The 112/2nd rejections have been overcome.
- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. Claims 1, 2, 4-7, 9-13, and 15-17 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Clark et al. (US 4,666,621), as shown in Paper #5. Rejection is maintained.
- Claims 3, 8, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Clark et al. (US 4,666,621), as set forth above, in view of Henry (US 4,064,061) and Thrasher (US 5,342,436), as shown in Paper #5. Rejection is maintained.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed April 11, 2002, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive for the reasons set forth. Applicant argues that Clark et al. fail to disclose the claimed invention. This argument is not persuasive because Clark et al. are claiming the same structure

Application/Control Number: 09/410,592

Art Unit: 1771

using the same materials as the present invention. Therefore, the wipe of Clark et al. would have the same properties as the cleaning sheet of the present invention.

Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ula C. Ruddock whose telephone number is (703) 305-0066. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 6:30 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor Terrel Morris can be reached at (703) 308-2414.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2351.

Ula C. Ruddock Patent Examiner
Art Unit 1771
July 1, 2002

ELIZABETH M. COLE PRIMARY EXAMINER